

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,797	02/28/2002	Katsuhiro Iwasaki	02135C/HG	1786
1933	7590 10/23/2002			
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC			EXAMINER	
767 THIRD AVENUE 25TH FLOOR			ANDREWS, MELVYN J	
			1742	
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	[A - U o di o nio	Applicant(s)				
`	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/085,797	IWASAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Melvyn J. Andrews	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 F	ebruary 2002 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-69 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) 1-69 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arrimor.					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/085,797

Art Unit: 1742

4.

DETAILED ACTION

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 51 to 70 been renumbered 50 to 69.

It is noted that original Claim 51 depends from Claim 50 but there is no original Claim 50 therefore any claim which depends from original Claim 50 is indefinite.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1 to 31, 35 to 43, 45, 46, 48, 52 to 60 and 69 drawn to a method,
 classified in class 75, subclass 484.
- II. Claims 32, 44, 47, 49 to 51, and 61 to 68, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 266, subclass 177

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process for example a method comprising making metallic iron in a compact in

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/085,797

Art Unit: 1742

4...1

which a shell composed of metallic iron is generated and grown on the surface of the compact and slag aggregates inside the shell.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If group I is elected applicants are required to further elect a species as set forth as follows.

This application contains method claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I as evidenced by Claim 1.

Species II as evidenced by Claims 2 to 29.

Species III as evidenced by Claim 30 and 31.

Species IV as evidenced by Claims 35 and 36.

Species V as evidenced by Claims 37 to 41.

Species VI as evidenced by Claims 42 and 43.

Species VII as evidenced by Claims 45 and 69.

Species VIII as evidenced by Claim 46.

Species IX as evidenced by Claim 48.

Species X as evidenced by Claim 52.

Species XI as evidenced by Claim 53 to 55

Species XII as evidenced by Claims 56 to 59.

Species XIII as evidenced by Claim 60

Application/Control Number: 10/085,797

Art Unit: 1742

If group II is elected applicants are required to further elect a species as set forth as follows.

This application contains apparatus claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

Species XIV as evidenced by Claims 32 to 34.

Species XV as evidenced by Claim 44.

Species XVI as evidenced by Claim 47.

Species XVII as evidenced by Claims 49 to 51.

Species XVIII as evidenced by Claims 61 to 67.

Species XIX as evidenced by Claim 68.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/085,797

Art Unit: 1742

٠٠,١

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvyn J. Andrews whose telephone number is 703-308-3739. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00A.M. to 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy V King can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

MELVYN ANDREWS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5

mja October 18, 2002